Asymptotics of the convex hull of spherical samples

Enkelejd Hashorva

Department of Actuarial Science, Faculty of Business and Economics
HEC Lausanne, University of Lausanne

arXiv:1412.7831v1 [math.PR] 25 Dec 2014

Abstract: In this paper we consider the convex hull of a spherically symmetric sample in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Our main contributions are some new asymptotic results for the expectation of the number of vertices, number of facets, area and the volume of the convex hull assuming that the marginal distributions are in the Gumbel max-domain of attraction. Further, we briefly discuss two other models assuming that the marginal distributions are regularly varying or O-regularly varying.

Key words and phrases: Convex hull; max-domain of attractions; asymptotic results; Carnal distributions; extreme value distributions.

AMS 2000 subject classification: Primary 52A22; Secondary 60D05, 60F05,60G70.

1 Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n, n \geq 2$ be independent random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$ and denote by $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$ their convex hull. Distributional and asymptotical properties of the random polytope $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$ are discussed by many authors, see e.g., Rény and Sulanake (1963), Efron (1965), Raynaud (1970), Carnal (1970), Eddy and Gale (1981), Groeneboom (1988), Aldous et al. (1991), Carnal and Hüsler (1991), Dwyer (1991), Hueter (1992, 1999, 2004, 2005), Reitzner (2002, 2004), Buchta (2005), Bárány and Vu (2007), Mayer and Molchanov (2007) and the references therein.

In this paper we deal with spherically symmetric random vectors assuming the stochastic representation

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \stackrel{d}{=} R\boldsymbol{U}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.1}$$

with $R > 0$ almost surely being independent of $\boldsymbol{U}$ which is uniformly distributed on the unit hypersphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (here $\stackrel{d}{=}$ and below $^\top$ stand for the equality of the distribution function, and the transpose sign, respectively).

Next, if $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)^\top$ is a spherically symmetric random vector with stochastic representation (1.1), then in view of Cambanis et al. (1981)

$$X_i^2 \stackrel{d}{=} R^2 B_{1/2,(d-1)/2}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d, \tag{1.2}$$

with $B_{1/2,(d-1)/2}$ a Beta distributed random variable with parameters $1/2, (d-1)/2$ being independent of R.
Since $X_k, k \leq d$ are symmetric about 0 by (1.2) $X_k, k \leq d$ have the same distribution function denoted by Q_d.

Our main interest lies in the asymptotic properties of $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$; specifically we focus on the asymptotic behaviour of the expectation of the number of the vertices, facets, the surface area and the volume of the convex hull. Interesting asymptotic results for these quantities are derived in the seminal paper Carnal (1970) under explicit assumptions on the tail asymptotics of the distribution function F of R (bivariate setup $d = 2$).
In fact, from the extreme value point of view, Carnal assumed that F is in the max-domain of attraction (MDA) of a univariate extreme value distribution $\mathcal{G}$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{G}$ is either the Gumbel distribution $\Lambda(x) = \exp(-\exp(-x)), x \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fréchet distribution $\Phi_\gamma(x) = \exp(-x^{-\gamma}), x > 0, \gamma > 0$, or the Weibull distribution

$\Psi_\gamma(x) = \exp(-|x|^\gamma), x < 0$. Naturally, we raise the question whether Carnal's results can be derived under asymptotic restrictions on Q_d? The answer is positive when Q_d is in the MDA of some univariate distribution function, see Section 3.

Dwyer (1991) extends Carnal's finding to the multidimensional setup assuming again that F is in the MDA of $\mathcal{G}$. In the latter paper it is demonstrated that the investigation of the expectation of the number of vertices and facets is of interest for determine the running time of algorithms for constructing a representation of the facial lattice of the convex hull of a given point set.

In the Gumbel case ($\mathcal{G} = \Lambda$) the results of Carnal (1970) and Dwyer (1991) are valid for special distribution functions F with light exponential tails and infinite upper endpoint (referred below as Carnal distributions). Asymptotic results for the expectation of the number of the vertices of the convex hull are to date not available when F is in the Gumbel MDA and has a finite upper endpoint.

Without going to mathematical details, we briefly mention the main contributions of this paper:

a) Making use of extreme value theory, we extend the known results for the Carnal distributions F to the larger class of univariate distribution functions in the Gumbel MDA. Furthermore, we obtain asymptotic estimates for the expectation and the variance of the number of vertices of the convex hull as well as a CLT extending a fundamental theorem of Hueter (1999).

b) We show that several existing results can be derived with similar assumptions on the marginal distribution function Q_d giving a positive answer to the above question.

c) A new result derived in this paper is the boundedness of the sequence of the expectation of the number of the vertices of the convex hull if either $\overline{F}$, or $\overline{Q}_d$ are O-regularly varying.

Organisation of the paper: The main results are presented in Section 3 followed by a section dedicated to the proofs. We conclude the paper with an Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce first our notation, provide few results from extreme value theory, and review some known results for the convex hull $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$ of a spherically symmetric sample $\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n$ as given in the Introduction.

If H is the distribution function of a random variable Y (henceforth abbreviated as $Y \sim H$), then we write $\overline{H} := 1 - H$ for its survival function. Further we define the generalised inverse of H by $H^{-1}(s) := \inf\{x : H(x) \geq s\}$ and denote by $x_H := \sup\{x : H(x) < 1\}$ the upper endpoint of H. We use similar notation for other distributions.
Throughout in the following $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ stands for a Beta random variable with positive parameters α, β with density function

$$x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}, x \in (0,1),$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler Gamma function.

From extreme value theory (see e.g., Reiss (1989), Embrechts et al. (1997), Falk et al. (2004)) the univariate distribution function N is in the MDA of the univariate distribution function $\mathcal{G}$, if for some constants $a_n > 0, b_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left| N^n(a_n t + b_n) - \mathcal{G}(t) \right| \quad = \quad 0. \tag{2.1}$$

As mentioned above only three choices are possible for $\mathcal{G}$, namely Λ, Φ_γ or Ψ_γ, with$\gamma \in (0, \infty)$. When $\mathcal{G} = \Lambda$ the upper endpoint x_N of N can be finite of infinite. For both other cases, x_N is either finite (Weibull) or infinite (Fréchet).

The characterisation of both Weibull and Fréchet max-domain of attractions is closely related to the concept of the regularly varying functions. In the following a positive measurable function $\mathcal{L}$ is called slowly varying at infinity if $\lim_{u\to\infty} \mathcal{L}(us)/\mathcal{L}(u) = 1$ for any $s > 0$. A regularly varying function with index $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the product of some $\mathcal{L}(x)$ with x^γ.

Next, we briefly review some known results for the convex hull. Let v_n, f_n denote the number of the vertices and the facets of $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$. Referring to Dwyer (1991) we may write

$$\int_0^\infty Q_d^{n-1}(s)|d\overline{F}(s)| \quad \leq \quad \frac{\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}}{n} \leq (1+o(1))2^{d-1}\int_0^\infty [1-2^{-d+1}\overline{Q}_d(s)]^{n-1}|d\overline{F}(s)|, \quad n\to\infty \tag{2.2}$$

(we abuse slightly the notation writing $P^k(s)$ instead of $(P(s))^k, k \in \mathbb{R}$ for P some arbitrary function). Furthermore

$$\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \leq \mathbb{E}\{f_n\} \sim \frac{n^d}{d}\kappa_d \int_0^\infty \delta_1(r) q_d^d(r)\exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dr, \quad n\to\infty, \tag{2.3}$$

where q_d is the density function of Q_d (which exists, see (5.1) in Appendix) and $\delta_1(r)$ is bounded by (see Lemma 4 in Dwyer (1991))

$$\delta_1(r) \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\frac{d\tau_{d-1}\kappa_{d-1}}{q_d(r)}\int_r^\infty (u^2-r^2)^{d-2}u\, dF(u), \quad r\to\infty, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\tau_d := \sqrt{d}\frac{(1+1/d)^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma(d+1)}, \quad \kappa_d := \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}. \tag{2.5}$$

In (2.3) and below $a_u \sim b_u, u \uparrow \omega$, with $\omega \in (-\infty, \infty]$ means that $\lim_{u\uparrow\omega} a_u/b_u = 1$. Further, we write $a_n \sim b_n$ instead of $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/b_n = 1$.
In the two-dimensional setup $d = 2$ (see Carnal (1970))

$$2\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \sim \quad n^2\int_0^\infty Q_2^{n-2}(s)|d\overline{H}(s)|, \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$H \quad \sim \quad \min(R_1, R_2)\sqrt{B_{1/2,1/2}}, \quad R_1 \stackrel{d}{=} R_2 \stackrel{d}{=} R, \tag{2.7}$$

where $R_1, R_2, B_{1/2,1/2}$ are mutually independent, see **A1** for the proof.

Referring again to Dwyer (1991), we have for the surface area A_n and the volume V_n of the convex hull

$$\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \sim \quad \frac{n^d}{d}\tau_d\int_0^\infty \delta_2(r)q_d^d(r)\exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dr \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\{V_n\} \quad \sim \quad n^d\tau_d\int_0^\infty r\delta_2(r)q_d^d(r)\exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dr, \tag{2.9}$$

where δ_2 can be bounded asymptotically by

$$\delta_2(r) \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\frac{d\tau_{d-1}\kappa_{d-1}}{q_d(r)}\int_r^\infty (u^2-r^2)^{(3d-5)/2}u\, dF(u), \quad r\to\infty. \tag{2.10}$$

In the bivariate setup

$$2\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \sim \quad n^2\int_0^\infty Q_d^{n-2}(s)|d\overline{K}(s)|, \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$\overline{K}(s) = 1 - K(s) = \frac{1}{\pi}\left[\int_s^\infty \sqrt{y^2-s^2}\, dF(y)\right]^2, \quad s \geq 0.$$

We note in passing that $K(s), s \geq 0$ is continuous, see Appendix **A2**.

3 Main Results

Asymptotic results for the sequence of the expectation of the number of vertices $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ can be obtained (when $d = 2$) by investigating the asymptotic behaviour ($n \to \infty$) of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_2^n(s)\,|d\overline{H}(s)|$. Our Lemma 5.1 turns out to be quite useful; furthermore it sheds some light explaining the role of extreme value theory in our analysis. More specifically, in view of Lemma 5.1 $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ is regularly varying sequence ($n \to \infty$) if and only if (iff) the tails of Q_2 and F satisfy a certain asymptotic condition (see (5.3)). In particular

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad = \quad c \in (0, \infty) \tag{3.1}$$

iff

$$\lim_{u\uparrow x_H} \frac{\overline{H}(u)}{\overline{Q}_2^2(u)} \quad = \quad c \in (0, \infty). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, H and Q_2 are in a strong relation with the distribution function F via (1.2). Thus it is not straightforward to check whether (3.2) holds for some given F. One simple instance is when for some positive constants $c_1, c_2 \in (0, \infty)$

$$\overline{H}(u) \quad \sim \quad c_1\overline{F}^2(u), \quad \overline{Q}_2(u) \sim c_2\overline{F}(u), \quad u \uparrow x_H \tag{3.3}$$

implying that (3.1) is valid with $c := c_1/c_2^2$.

If F is in the MDA of an extreme value distribution, then by Berman (1992) it follows that both Q_2 and H are in the same MDA, and further the asymptotics of $\overline{Q}_2(u)$ and $\overline{H}(u)$ as $u \to x_F$ are determined by $\overline{F}(u)$ and some known functions.

In view of Hashorva and Pakes (2010) also the converse holds, i.e., F is in the MDA of an extreme value distribution function iff $Q_d, d \geq 2$ is in the MDA of the same extreme value distribution function. Consequently, all known results with F in the MDA of some univariate extreme value distribution can be retrieved if we impose instead the same assumption on Q_d.

We deal first with the Gumbel case; when $x_F \in (0, \infty)$ no asymptotic results for the quantities of interest are known to date. When $x_F = \infty$ we have both results of Carnal and Dwyer for any F being a Carnal distribution function. We conclude this section by briefly discussing both the Fréchet and Weibull max-domains of attraction.

3.1 Gumbel Tails

It is well-known that condition (2.1) is valid for some univariate distribution function N with $\mathcal{G} = \Lambda$ and upper endpoint $x_N \in (-\infty, \infty]$, iff for some positive scaling function w

$$\lim_{u\uparrow x_N} \frac{\overline{N}(u + x/w(u))}{\overline{N}(u)} \quad = \quad \exp(-x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore

$$w(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\overline{N}(u)}{\int_u^\infty \overline{N}(s)\,ds}, \quad u \uparrow x_N. \tag{3.5}$$

So far in the literature the Gumbel MDA assumption on F has not been explicitly assumed. An elegant simplification of this assumption is suggested in Carnal (1970) which has been used in several following papers (Eddy and Gale

(1981), Dwyer (1991), Hueter (1999, 2005, 2005)). More specifically, Carnal (1970) considers distribution functions F satisfying (for all large x)

$$x \quad = \quad \mathcal{L}(1/\overline{F}(x)), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a monotone increasing slowly varying function at infinity. We refer to (3.6) as the Carnal tail condition and to such F as Carnal distributions. As shown in Carnal (1970) if $\mathcal{L}(s) = \exp(\int_1^s \varepsilon(s)/s\, ds), s \geq 0$ with $\lim_{s\to\infty} \varepsilon(s) = 0$, then Carnal distributions have the representation

$$\overline{F}(x) = \exp(-\int_0^x 1/(\eta(s)s)\, ds), \quad x \geq 0,$$

with $\eta(s) = \varepsilon(1/\overline{F}(s)), s > 0$. In the aforementioned paper (see also Dwyer (1991), Hueter (1999)) the function η satisfies some smoothness conditions being further positive and monotone non-decreasing.
If $N \in GMDA(w)$ we define next

$$\xi_N(n) \quad := \quad b_n/a_n, \quad b_n := N^{-1}(1-1/n), \quad a_n := 1/w(b_n), \quad n > 1.$$

The constants a_n, b_n are such that (2.1) holds with $\mathcal{G} = \Lambda$. Further, it is well-known (see e.g., Resnick (2008)) that both $N^{-1}(1-1/n)$ and $\xi_N(n)$ are slowly varying functions at infinity. As will be shown next this fact, Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.4 (see Appendix) are the key ingredients needed to derive the tail asymptotics of the quantities of interest.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $F, H, K, Q_d, d \geq 2$ be as in the previous section, and let $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$ be the convex hull of the random points $\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n$ which are independent with stochastic representation* (1.1)*, where $R \sim F$. If $Q_d \in GMDA(w)$ or $F \in GMDA(w)$ we have:*
a) As $n \to \infty$

$$Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n) \sim F^{-1}(1-1/n), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \xi_{Q_d}(n) \sim \xi_F(n). \tag{3.7}$$

b) If $d = 2$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \sim \quad 2\sqrt{\pi \xi_{Q_2}(n)}, \tag{3.8}$$

and for $d \geq 2$ and some $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$

$$(1-\varepsilon)\xi_{Q_d}(n)^{(d-1)/2} \leq 2^{(d-3)/2}\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \leq (1+\varepsilon)4^{d-1}\xi_{Q_d}(n)^{(d-1)/2}. \tag{3.9}$$

c) If $d = 2$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \sim \quad \pi[Q_2^{-1}(1-1/n)]^2, \tag{3.10}$$

and for $d \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\Gamma(d+1)\left(\frac{4d\sqrt{\pi}}{d-1}\right)^{d-1}(Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n))^d, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

d) For any $d \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}\{f_n\} \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\sqrt{d}\left(\frac{8\pi d}{d-1}\right)^{(d-1)/2}(\xi_{Q_d}(n))^{-(d-1)/2}, \quad n \to \infty \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\{V_n\} \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\Gamma(d)\left(\frac{4d\sqrt{\pi}}{d-1}\right)^{d-1}(Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n))^d, \quad n \to \infty \tag{3.13}$$

are valid.

Remarks: *(a) In Carnal's notation* $L(n) = F^{-1}(1-1/n)$ *and* $\varepsilon(n) := 1/\xi_F(n), n \geq 1$. *If the upper endpoint of* F *is finite, say* $x_F = 1$, *then clearly* $\lim_{n\to\infty} L(n) = 1$.
(b) A misprint appears in the upper bound for $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}$ *in Dwyer (1991), p.126. The upper bound therein should be multiplied by* 2^{d-1}, *see* (3.9) *above.*
(c) For any $N \in GMDA(w)$ *with scaling function* w *defined by* (3.5) *we have (see e.g., Resnick (2008))*

$$uw(u) \to \infty, \quad \text{and if } x_N < \infty \; w(u)(x_N - u) \;\; = \;\; \infty, \quad u \uparrow x_N. \tag{3.14}$$

Consequently, (3.9) *implies* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\{v_n\} = \infty$.
(c) Utilising the expression (1.7) which gives an asymptotic formula for $\mathbb{E}\{l_n\}$ *with* l_n *the perimeter of the convex hull (d=2), it follows that when* F *or* Q_d *are in the Gumbel MDA with some scaling function* w, *then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\{l_n\} \;\; \sim \;\; 2\pi Q_2^{-1}(1-1/n). \tag{3.15}$$

Proposition 3.1 provides asymptotic upper and lower bounds for $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}$.
Hueter (1999) was able to give the exact asymptotic behaviour of the first and the second moment of v_n; moreover a key central limit theorem was derived therein by developing Groeneboom's technique (see Groeneboom (1988)) in higher dimensions.

Next we extend Hueter's CLT theorem which has been shown for Carnal distributions by considering a general spherical random vector with marginal distribution or distribution of the associated random radius in the Gumbel max-domain of attraction.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* v_n *denote the number of the vertices of* $CH[\boldsymbol{X}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_n]$, *where* $\boldsymbol{X}_i, i \geq n$ *are independent with stochastic representation* (1.1). *Suppose that* $F(0) = 0, x_F = \infty$ *and set* $a_n := 1/w(b_n), b_n := Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n), n > 1$ *where* Q_d *and* F *are related by* (1.2). *If either* $F \in GMDA(w)$ *or* $Q_d \in GMDA(w)$, *and* $a_n b_n \not\to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$, *then we have the convergence in distribution*

$$\frac{v_n - \lambda_d (b_n/a_n)^{(d-1)/2}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{v_n\}}} \;\; \stackrel{d}{\to} \;\; Z, \quad n \to \infty, \tag{3.16}$$

with $\mathbf{Var}\{v_n\} \sim \lambda_d^*(b_n/a_n)^{(d-1)/2}, \lambda_d, \lambda_d^* \in (0,\infty)$, *and* Z *a standard Gaussian random variable.*

Remarks: *(a) The above proposition gives also the asymptotics of* $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}$ *and* $\mathbf{Var}\{v_n\}, n \to \infty$. *It turns out that the expectation and the variance of the number of the vertices of the convex hull differ by a constant, and are both slowly varying functions.*
b) The condition $a_n b_n \not\to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *implies a certain asymptotic behaviour of the density function* q_2 *of* Q_2. *More precisely, in view of Proposition 3.1*

$$q_2(u) \;\; \sim \;\; w(u)\overline{Q}_2(u) = (1+o(1))\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{w(u)}{u}\right)^{1/2}\overline{Q}_2(u), \quad u \to \infty,$$

hence

$$q_2(b_n) \;\; \sim \;\; \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{a_n b_n}\right)^{1/2}\overline{Q}_2(b_n) \sim \frac{n}{\sqrt{a_n b_n}},$$

implying $q_2(b_n)/n \not\to 0$ *as* $n \to \infty$.
(c) In Proposition 3.2 if F *has a finite upper endpoint* $x_F \in (0,\infty)$, *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = x_F$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$, *hence* $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = 0$.

We conjecture that Proposition 3.2, and in particular the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}$ *and* $\mathbf{Var}\{v_n\}$*, are also valid with the same constants (not depending on* F*), when* $F \in GMDA(w)$ *with* $x_F \in (0,\infty)$*. In the 2-dimensional setup this is true for the asymptotics of* $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \to \infty$ *(see* (3.9) *above).*

We give next two illustrating examples.

Example 1. Let $\boldsymbol{X}_i \stackrel{d}{=} R\boldsymbol{U}, i = 1,\dots,n$ be independent spherically symmetric random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that the distribution function F of the positive random variable R has upper endpoint 1 satisfying

$$\overline{F}(u) \sim a\exp(-b/(1-u)), \quad u \uparrow 1,$$

with $a, b \in (0,\infty)$. Set $w(u) := b/(1-u)^2, u \in (0,1)$. Since for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{\overline{F}(u+s/w(u))}{\overline{F}(u)} = (1+o(1))\exp(-b[1/(1-u+s/w(u)) - 1/(1-u)]) \to \exp(-s), \quad u \uparrow 1,$$

then $F \in GMDA(w)$. Further, we have

$$F^{-1}(1-1/n) \sim 1 - b/\ln(an), \quad w(F^{-1}(1-1/n)) = b[\ln(an)]^2, \quad n > 1,$$

consequently

$$\xi_F(n) = F^{-1}(1-1/n)w(F^{-1}(1-1/n)) \sim b[\ln n]^2, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Hence in view of Proposition 3.1 for $d = 2$

$$\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \sim \quad \sqrt{4b\pi}\ln n, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Example 2. Under the setup of the previous example, we suppose further that the marginal distribution function Q_2 is in the Gumbel MDA with scaling function

$$w(x) = r\theta x^{\theta-1}/(1+\mathcal{L}_1(x)), \quad r > 0, \theta > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a regularly varying function at infinity with index $\gamma\theta, \gamma < 0$. It follows that

$$\overline{Q}_2(x) \sim \exp(-rx^\theta(1+\mathcal{L}_2(x))), \quad x \to \infty,$$

with $\mathcal{L}_2$ another regularly varying function at infinity with index $\gamma\theta$. Consequently, we have

$$b_n := Q_2^{-1}(1-1/n) \sim \left(\frac{\ln n}{r}\right)^{1/\theta}, \quad a_n := 1/w(b_n) = \frac{b_n^{1-\theta}}{r\theta}, \quad n \to \infty$$

implying

$$\xi_{Q_2}(n) = \theta\ln n, \quad a_n b_n = \frac{b_n^{2-\theta}}{r\theta}, \quad n > 1.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.1

$$\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \sim \quad 2\sqrt{\pi\theta\ln n} \tag{3.18}$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = 0$ if $\theta > 2$, whereas for $\theta \in (0,2)$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = \infty$. Note in passing that if Q_2 is the standard Gaussian distribution, then $\theta = 1/r = 2$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = 1$. Further, we remark that if $\mathcal{L}_1$ is constant, then Q_2 is a Carnal distribution.

3.2 Regularly and O-Regularly Varying Tails

The survival function $\overline{N}$ is regularly varying (at infinity) with index $\gamma \leq 0$ if

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{N}(ux)}{\overline{N}(u)} \quad = \quad x^{\gamma}. \tag{3.19}$$

In view of Proposition 5.3 (see Appendix **A3**), the survival function $\overline{Q}_d$ satisfies (3.19) iff $\overline{F}$ also satisfies (3.19). Hence the results of Carnal (1970) and Dwyer (1991) can be retrieved assuming the regular variation of $\overline{Q}_d$ instead of that of $\overline{F}$. As shown in Berman (1992) it is possible to relate the asymptotics of $\overline{F}$ with that of $\overline{Q}_2$, specifically

$$\overline{Q}_2(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\Gamma((\gamma+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\gamma/2+1)}\overline{F}(u), \quad u\to\infty.$$

Similarly, we find $\overline{F}$ satisfies (3.19) iff the survival function $\overline{H}$ is regularly varying with index 2γ. Moreover as $u \to \infty$

$$\overline{H}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\overline{F}^2(u).$$

Consequently (3.3) implies that if one of the survival functions $\overline{F}, \overline{Q}_d$ or $\overline{H}$ is regularly varying with index $\gamma \leq 0$, then for the bivariate setup ($d = 2$) we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad = \quad \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1/2)[\Gamma(\gamma/2+1)]^2}{[\Gamma((\gamma+1)/2)]^2\Gamma(\gamma+1)}, \tag{3.20}$$

which is shown in Carnal (1970) assuming that $\overline{F}$ satisfies (3.19). Aldous et al. (1991) addresses the case that $\overline{F}$ satisfies (3.19) with $\gamma = 0$, which in view of our results is equivalent with Q_d being slowly varying (satisfying (3.19) with $\gamma = 0$).

It is interesting that the limit in (3.20) is finite, thus $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ is a bounded sequence.

A natural question that arises is: For what other distribution functions is $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ a bounded sequence?

We show below that the answer is positive for $\overline{F}$ being a O-regularly varying function, meaning that

$$0 < \liminf_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(ux)}{\overline{F}(u)} \leq \limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(ux)}{\overline{F}(u)} < \infty, \quad \forall x > 1.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Under the setup of Proposition 3.1, if either $\overline{F}$, $\overline{Q}_2$, or $\overline{H}$ is O-regularly varying at infinity, then $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ is a bounded sequence.*

It is well-known that F is in the Fréchet MDA iff (3.19) holds for some $\gamma < 0$, see e.g., Embrechts et al. (1997). When F is in the Weibull MDA we have a similar behaviour of the survival function at the upper endpoint x_F which is necessarily finite, say $x_F = 1$. More specifically

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(1-x/u)}{\overline{F}(1-1/u)} \quad = \quad x^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0 \tag{3.21}$$

is equivalent with (2.1) where $\mathcal{G} = \Psi_\gamma$.

Our new results when F is in the Weibull MDA can be derived utilising Proposition 5.3 which implies:

Condition (3.21) is equivalent with the fact that the marginal distribution Q_d satisfies (3.21) with $\gamma^* = \gamma + 1/2, \gamma \geq 0$. Hence the results of Carnal (1970) for the bivariate setup, and those of Dwyer (1991) for the higher dimensions hold if either of those conditions are satisfied.

4 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 3.1 We note first that for the proof Proposition 5.4 presented in the appendix is crucial.
a) The claim is easily established if F has a finite upper endpoint, say $x_F = 1$. Since also Q_d has the same upper endpoint, the proof follows by the fact that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n) = x_{Q_d} = 1, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} F^{-1}(1-1/n) = x_F = 1.$$

We deal therefore with the case $x_F = \infty$. By Lemma 6.1 in Hashorva (2009) if $N \in GMDA(w)$ and N has an infinite upper endpoint, then

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{N}(cu)(uw(u))^a}{\overline{N}(u)} \quad = \quad 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for any $c > 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by (3.14), (4.1), (5.12) and (5.10)

$$Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n) \quad \sim \quad F^{-1}(1-1/n), \quad n \to \infty.$$

In view of Proposition 5.4 both H and $Q_d, d \geq 2$ belong to the Gumbel MDA with the same scaling function w, consequently $\xi_{Q_d}(n) \sim \xi_F(n)$.
b) By the assumptions and Proposition 5.4 we have

$$\frac{\overline{H}(u)}{\overline{Q}_2^2(u)\sqrt{\xi_{Q_2}(\overline{Q}_2(u))}} \sim 2\sqrt{\pi}, \quad u \uparrow x_F.$$

Furthermore, H and $Q_d, d \geq 2$ are continuous distribution functions. Hence applying Lemma 5.1 with $\rho = 2$ and $l = \Gamma(3)2\sqrt{\pi}$ to (2.6) we obtain

$$2\mathbb{E}\{v_n\} \quad \sim \quad n^2 \int_0^\infty Q_2^{n-2}(s)|d\overline{H}(s)| \sim \Gamma(3)2\sqrt{\pi}.$$

If $d \geq 2$, then (5.9) implies

$$\frac{\overline{F}(u)}{\overline{Q}_d(u)\xi_{Q_d}(\overline{Q}_d(u))^{(d-1)/2}} \sim 2^{-(d-3)/2}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)}, \quad u \uparrow x_F.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 5.1

$$n\int_0^\infty Q_d^{n-1}(s)\, dF(s) \sim 2^{-(d-3)/2}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)}\xi_F(n)^{(d-1)/2}.$$

Similarly,

$$n2^{d-1}\int_0^\infty [1-2^{-(d-1)}\overline{Q}_d(s)]^{n-1}\, dF(s) \sim 4^{d-1}2^{-(d-3)/2}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)}\xi_F(n)^{(d-1)/2}$$

and thus (3.9) follows.
c) With the same arguments as above we obtain

$$\frac{\overline{K}(u)}{[\overline{Q}(u)Q^{-1}(1-\overline{Q}(u))]^2} \sim \pi, \quad u \uparrow x_F,$$

hence Lemma 5.1 implies

$$2\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \sim \quad n^2 \int_0^\infty Q_2^{n-2}(s)|d\overline{K}(s)| \sim \Gamma(3)\pi[Q_2^{-1}(1-1/n)]^2,$$

and thus (3.10) follows.

Next we show the claim for $d \geq 2$. Applying Lemma 7.6 of Hashorva (2007) and using (2.11) we obtain

$$\int_r^{x_F} (r^2-u^2)^{(3d-5)/2} u\, dF(u) \quad \sim \quad \Gamma(3(d-1)/2)\Big(\frac{2r}{w(r)}\Big)^{(3d-5)/2} r\overline{F}(r), \quad r \uparrow x_F.$$

Consequently, by (2.11), (5.9) and the fact that $q_d(r) \sim w(r)\overline{Q}_d(r), r \uparrow x_F$ (see Proposition 5.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} &\leq (1+o(1))\Big(\frac{2d}{d-1}\Big)^{d-1} \frac{d^2(d-1)!}{2\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \int_0^{x_F} \Big(\frac{r^2}{w(r)}\Big)^{d-1} (rw(r))^{d-1}(r) \exp(-\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dr \\ &\leq (1+o(1))\Big(\frac{2d}{d-1}\Big)^{d-1} \frac{d^2(d-1)!}{2\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \int_0^{x_F} r^{2(d-1)} \exp(-\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dQ_d(r), \quad r \uparrow x_F.\end{aligned}$$

Since $Q^{-1}(1-1/n)$ is regularly varying as $n \to \infty$ the Abel formula for the Laplace transform yields

$$\mathbb{E}\{A_n\} \quad \leq \quad (1+o(1))\Gamma(d+1)\Big(\frac{4d\sqrt{\pi}}{d-1}\Big)^{d-1} (Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n))^{d-1}, \quad n \to \infty,$$

thus the statement is established.

d) By Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 7.6 in Hashorva (2007) as $r \uparrow x_F$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{q_d(r)} \int_r^{x_F} (r^2-u^2)^{d-2} u\, dF(u) &\sim \Gamma(d-1)\Big(\frac{2r}{w(r)}\Big)^{d-2} r\frac{\overline{F}(r)}{q_d(r)} \\ &\sim \Gamma(d-1)2^{d-2} r^{d-1} w(r)^{1-d} \frac{w(r)\overline{F}(r)}{q_d(r)} \\ &\sim \Gamma(d-1)2^{d-2}2^{-(d-3)/2} r^{d-1} w(r)^{1-d} (rw(r))^{(d-1)/2}\sqrt{\pi}/\Gamma(d/2) \\ &\sim \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)} 2^{d/2-1/2} r^{3(d-1)/2} w(r)^{(1-d)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.12) and Proposition 5.4 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{f_n\} &\leq (1+o(1))d\tau_{d-1}\kappa_{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)} 2^{d/2-1/2} \int_0^{x_F} r^{3(d-1)/2} w(r)^{(1-d)/2} q_d(r)^{d-1} \exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dQ_d(r) \\ &\leq (1+o(1))d\tau_{d-1}\kappa_{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)} 2^{d/2-1/2} \int_0^{x_F} (rw(r))^{3(d-1)/2} \overline{Q}_d(r)^{d-1} \exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dQ_d(r).\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 4.1 in Hashorva et al. (2010) there exists a distribution function G_d such that

$$\overline{G}_d(u) \quad \sim \quad d\tau_{d-1}\kappa_{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(d/2)} 2^{d/2-1/2} (uw(u))^{3(d-1)/2} \overline{Q}_d(u)^{d-1}, \quad u \to \infty.$$

Applying now Lemma 5.1 to $\int_0^\infty \overline{G}_d(r) \exp(-n\overline{Q}_d(r))\, dQ_d(r)$ establishes (3.12).

The proof of the last claim follows with similar arguments utilising further (3.13). □

Proof of Proposition 3.3 Applying Lemma 5.2 $\overline{F}$ is O-regularly varying if $\overline{Q}_d$ or $\overline{H}$ is O-regularly varying, and vice-versa. Next, assume that $d = 2$ and $\overline{F}$ is O-regularly varying. By (1.2) and (2.7) for any $c > 1$ and $u > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_{1/2,(d-1)/2} > 1/c\}\overline{F}(cu) \leq \overline{Q}_d(u) \leq \overline{F}(u)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_{1/2,(d-1)/2} > 1/c\}\overline{F}^2(cu) \leq \overline{H}(u) \leq \overline{F}^2(u).$$

Consequently

$$\Big(\frac{\overline{F}(u)}{\mathbb{P}\{B_{1/2,(d-1)/2} > 1/c\}\overline{F}(cu)}\Big)^{-2} \leq \frac{\overline{H}(u)}{\overline{Q}_d^2(u)} \leq \Big(\frac{\overline{F}(u)}{\mathbb{P}\{B_{1/2,(d-1)/2} > 1/c\}\overline{F}(cu)}\Big)^{2}.$$

The O-regular variation of $\overline{F}$ implies that $0 < b_1 \leq \overline{H}(u)/\overline{Q}_d^d(u) \leq b_2 < \infty$ for some constants b_1, b_2 and for all u large, hence (2.6) yields that $\mathbb{E}\{v_n\}, n \geq 1$ is a bounded sequence. If $d \geq 2$ the proof follows utilising (2.2) and the bounds on the ratio $\overline{F}(u)/\overline{Q}_d(u)$, and thus the result follows. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2 The proof can be established along the lines of Hueter (1999) utilising further Proposition 3.1, the fact that $\xi_{Q_d}(n), Q_d^{-1}(1-1/n)$ are slowly regularly varying functions and (3.14). □

5 Appendix

A1. First note that if $X^2 \sim Y^2 B_{1/2,1/2}$, with $Y > 0$ almost surely independent of $B_{1/2,1/2}$ and X being symmetric about 0, then the distribution of X is given by (see Carnal (1970))

$$2\mathbb{P}\{X > r\} = \mathbb{P}\{|X| > r\} = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_r^\infty \arccos(r/s)\,|d\overline{G}(s)|, \quad r \geq 0,$$

with G the distribution function of Y. Next, since H is defined by (see Carnal (1970))

$$H(r) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_r^\infty \arccos(r/s)\,|d\overline{F}^2(s)|, \quad r \geq 0$$

Eq. (2.7) follows easily.

A2. Let $R \sim H$ be a positive random variable independent of $B_{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in (0,\infty)$, and denote by $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ the distribution function of $RB_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ possesses the density function $q_{\alpha,\beta}$ given by (see (22) in Hashorva et al. (2007))

$$q_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \quad = \quad \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}\int_x^\infty (s-x)^{\beta-1}s^{-\alpha-\beta+1}\,dH(s), \quad \forall x \in (0, x_H). \tag{5.1}$$

It is thus clear that $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a continuous distribution function.
Next assume that N is a univariate distribution function with $N(0) = 0$ and upper endpoint $x_N \in (0,\infty]$ such that $\mu_N := \int_0^\infty x\,dN(x) \in (0,\infty)$. Define a new distribution function N^* by

$$N^*(s) = 1 - \int_s^\infty \sqrt{x^2 - s^2}\,dN(s)/\mu_N, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Then we have (see (18.5) in Reiss and Thomas (2007)) that N^* possesses a density function n^* given by

$$n^*(s) = \frac{s}{\mu_N}\int_s^\infty (x^2 - s^2)^{-1/2}\,dN(s), \quad s \geq 0$$

implying that N^* is a continuous distribution function.

A3. We give below two lemmas followed by two propositions, which are utilised in the proofs above. Note in passing that the next lemma has been useful when dealing with the asymptotics of near extremes, see e.g. Pakes (2000). Furthermore, refinements under stronger asymptotic assumptions can be found in Li (2008).

Lemma 5.1. *Let G_1, G_2 be two continuous distribution functions with upper endpoint $\omega \in (-\infty,\infty]$. Further let $\lambda \in [0,1], l, \rho \in [0,\infty)$ be given constants and $\mathcal{L}$ be a positive slowly varying function at 0. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

a) For any $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\lambda + (1-\lambda)\overline{G}_1(s)]^{n-z}\,dG_2(s) \quad \sim \quad \frac{l}{((1-\lambda)n)^\rho}\mathcal{L}(1/n), \quad n \to \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

b)

$$\frac{\overline{G}_2(u)}{\overline{G}_1^{\rho}(u)\mathcal{L}(\overline{G}_1(u))} \quad \sim \quad \frac{l}{\Gamma(\rho+1)}, \quad u \uparrow \omega. \tag{5.3}$$

c)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{G}_2(s) \exp(-(n-z)\overline{G}_1(s))\, dG_1(s) \quad \sim \quad \frac{l}{n^{\rho-1}}\mathcal{L}(1/n), \quad n \to \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1 The equivalence of the first two statements follows easily by Lemma 3.1 in Hashorva (2002). The equivalence of *b*) and *c*) can be established with similar arguments. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $X \stackrel{d}{=} RY$ with $R \sim F$ being independent of $Y \in [0,1]$ almost surely. Suppose that $F(0) = 0, x_F = \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}\{Y > s\} \in (0,1)$ for any $s \in (0,1)$ and denote by G the distribution function of X. Then F is O-regularly varying iff G is O-regularly varying.*

Proof of Lemma 5.2 By the assumptions we have for any $\alpha > 1, x > 0$

$$\overline{F}(x) \geq \overline{G}(x) \geq \int_{\alpha x}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Y > x/r\}\, dF(r) \geq \mathbb{P}\{Y > 1/\alpha\}\overline{F}(\alpha x) > 0$$

implying thus for any $c > 1$

$$\frac{\overline{F}(cx)}{\mathbb{P}\{Y > 1/\alpha\}\overline{F}(\alpha x)} \geq \frac{\overline{G}(cx)}{\overline{G}(x)} \geq \mathbb{P}\{Y > 1/\alpha\}\frac{\overline{F}(\alpha c x)}{\overline{F}(x)} > 0.$$

Choosing $\alpha \in (1, c)$ the assumption F is O-regularly varying yields that also G is O-regularly varying. The proof of the converse follows with similar arguments, therefore it is omitted here.

□

Proposition 5.3. *Let $Y \sim H$ be independent of $B_{a,b}, a, b \in (0,\infty)$ with H such that $x_H \in (0,\infty]$, and $H(0) = 0$. Let $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y[1 - B_{a,b}]^{1/\tau}, \tau \in (0,\infty)$ with distribution function F. Then we have:*
i) $H \in GMDA(w)$ is equivalent with $F \in GMDA(w)$, and

$$\overline{F}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(b)}\left(\frac{\tau}{uw(u)}\right)^a \overline{H}(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F. \tag{5.5}$$

Furthermore, F possesses a density function f such that $f(u) \sim w(u)\overline{F}(u), u \uparrow x_F$.

ii) The distribution function H satisfies (3.19) *with some $\gamma \leq 0$ iff F satisfies* (3.19) *with the same γ, and moreover*

$$\overline{F}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(b)}\frac{\Gamma(b+\gamma/\tau)}{\Gamma(a+b+\gamma/\tau)}\overline{H}(u), \quad u \to \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

iii) The distribution F with $x_F = 1$ satisfies (3.21) *with some $\gamma \geq 0$, iff H satisfies* (3.21) *with $\gamma^* := \gamma + a, \gamma \geq 0$, and moreover*

$$\overline{F}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(b)}\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+a+1)}(\tau(1-u))^a\overline{H}(u), \quad u \uparrow 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.3 The proof follows from Theorem 16 in Hashorva et al. (2007) and the results of Hashorva and Pakes (2010). □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $F, H, K, q_d, Q_d, d \geq 2$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then $F \in GMDA(w)$ iff one of the following relations hold:*
a) For any $d \geq 2$ we have $Q_d \in GMDA(w)$. Furthermore

$$q_d(u) \quad \sim \quad w(u)\overline{Q}_d(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\overline{Q}_d(u) \quad \sim \quad 2^{(d-3)/2}\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}(uw(u))^{-(d-1)/2}\overline{F}(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F. \tag{5.9}$$

b) $H \in GMDA(2w)$ and moreover

$$\overline{H}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi uw(u)}}\overline{F}^2(u) \sim 2\sqrt{\pi uw(u)}(\overline{Q}(u))^2, \quad u \uparrow x_F. \tag{5.10}$$

c) We have $\mu_F := \int_0^\infty y\, dF(y)$ is finite and $K^*(s) = 1 - \int_s^\infty \sqrt{y^2 - s^2}\, dF(y)/\mu_F, s \geq 0$ is a continuous distribution function with $K^* \in GMDA(w)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\overline{K}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{1}{2}\overline{F}^2(u)u/w(u) \sim \pi u^2\overline{Q}^2(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F. \tag{5.11}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.4 The proofs of statement *a*) and *b*) follow immediately by applying statement *i*) of Proposition 5.3 in Appendix **A3** (recall that both (1.2) and (2.7) hold).

Next, if $F \in GMDA(w)$, then $\mu_F = \int_0^\infty x\, dF(x)$ is finite, therefore $K^*(s) = 1 - \int_s^\infty \sqrt{y^2 - s^2}\, dF(y)/\mu_F, s \geq 0$ defines a distribution function with upper endpoint x_F. Applying Lemma 7.6 in Hashorva (2007) we obtain

$$\overline{K}^*(u) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{\mu_F}\int_u^\infty \sqrt{x^2 - u^2}\, dF(x) \sim \frac{1}{\mu_F}\Gamma(3/2)(2u/w(u))^{1/2}\overline{F}(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F.$$

Since locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{w(u + s/w(u))}{w(u)} \quad \to \quad 1, \quad u \uparrow x_F \tag{5.12}$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $K^* \in GMDA(w)$.
In order to finish the proof we need to show the converse. Assume therefore $K^* \in GMDA(w)$ and $\mu_F \in (0, \infty)$. By the Abel integral equation (see Heinrich (2007))

$$\overline{F}(x) \quad = \quad \frac{2\mu_F}{\pi}\int_x^\infty (y^2 - x^2)^{-1/2}\, dK^*(x), \quad x \geq 0.$$

Applying again Lemma 7.6 in Hashorva (2007) we obtain

$$\overline{F}(u) \quad \sim \quad \frac{2\mu_F}{\pi}\Gamma(1/2)(2u/w(u))^{-1/2}\overline{K}^*(u), \quad u \uparrow x_F,$$

hence the result follows. □

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank the referee for a very kind and deep review and several suggestions which improved the presentation substantially. I am thankful to Ilya Molchanov for many helpful discussions and comments, and to Jürg Hüsler and Michael Mayer for providing some key references.

References

[1] Aldous, D.J., Fristedt, J., Griffin, P.S., and Pruitt, W.E. (1991) The number of extreme points in the convex hull of a random sample. *J. Appl. Prob.* **28**, 287–304.

[2] Bárány, I., and Vu, V. (2007) Central limit theorems for Gaussian polytopes. *Ann. Probab.*, **35**, 1593–1621.

[3] Berman, M.S. (1992) *Sojourns and Extremes of Stochastic Processes*. Wadsworth & Brooks/ Cole, Boston.

[4] Buchta, C. (2005) An identity relating moments of functionals of convex hulls. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **33**, 125–142.

[5] Cambanis, S., Huang, S., and Simons, G. (1981) On the theory of elliptically contoured distributions. *J. Multivariate Analysis.* **11**,3, 368–385.

[6] Carnal, H. (1970) Die konvexe Hülle von n rotations-symmetrisch verteilten Punkten. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.* **15**, 168–176.

[7] Carnal, H., and Hüsler, J. (1991) On the convex hull of n random points on a circle. *J. Appl. Probab.* **28**, 231–237.

[8] Dwyer, R. A. (1991) Convex hulls of samples from spherically symmetric distributions. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **31**, 113–132.

[9] Falk, M., Hüsler, J., and Reiss R.-D. (2004) *Laws of Small Numbers: Extremes and Rare Events.* DMV Seminar **23**, Second Edition, Birkhäuser, Basel.

[10] Eddy, W.F., and Gale, J.D. (1981) The convex hull of a spherically symmetric sample. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, **13**, 751–763.

[11] Efron, B. (1965) The convex hull of a random set of points. *Biometrika* **52**, 331–343.

[12] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, T. (1997) *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[13] Groeneboom, P. (1988) Limit theorems for convex hulls. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **79**, 327–368.

[14] Hashorva, E. (2006) Gaussian approximation of conditional elliptical random vectors. *Stoch. Models.* **22**,3, 441–457.

[15] Hashorva, E. (2007) Asymptotics properties of Type I elliptical random vectors. *Extremes*, **10**,4, 175–206.

[16] Hashorva, E. (2009) Conditional limits of W_p scale mixture distributions. *J. Stat. Plan. Inf.* **139**,10, 3501–3511.

[17] Hashorva, E, Kotz, S., and Kume, A. (2007) L_p-norm generalised symmetrised Dirichlet distributions. *Albanian J. Math.* **1**,1, 31–56.

[18] Hashorva, E., and Pakes, A.G. (2010) Distribution and asymptotics under beta random scaling. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **372**,2, 496–514.

[19] Hashorva, E., Pakes, A.G., and Tang, Q. (2010) Asymptotics of random contractions. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, bf 47, 3, 405–414.

[20] Hueter, I. (1999) Limit theorems for the convex hull of random points in higher dimensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351**, 4337–4363.

[21] Hueter, I. (2004) Random convex hulls and the Stein method. Preprint.

[22] Hueter, I. (2005) Limit theorems for convex hull peels. Preprint.

[23] Hueter, I. (1992) The Convex Hull of n Random Points and its Vertex Process. Ph.D. thesis, University of Bern.

[24] Li, D. (2008) On the probability of being maximal. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics* **50**, 381–394.

[25] Heinrich, L. (2007) Limit distributions of some stereological estimators in Wicksell's corpuscle problem. *Imag. Anal. Stereol.*, **26**, 63–71.

[26] Mayer, M., and Molchanov, I. (2007) Limit theorems for the diameter of a random sample in the unit ball. *Extremes*, **10**, 129–150.

[27] Pakes, A. (2000) The number and sum of near-maxima for thin-tailed populations. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **32**, 110017-1116.

[28] Raynaud, H. (1970) Sur l'enveloppe convexe des nuages de points aléatoires dans $\mathbb{R}^n$. *J. Appl. Prob.* **7**, 35–48.

[29] Reitzner, M. (2002) Random points on the boundary of smooth convex bodies. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **354**, 224317-2278.

[30] Reitzner, M. (2004) Stochastic approximation of smooth convex bodies. *Mathematika*, **51**, 11-1729.

[31] Rényi, A., and Sulanke, R. (1963) Uber die konvexe Hulle von n zufällig gewählten Punkten. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **2**, 75–84.

[32] Reiss, R-D. (1989) *Approximate Distributions of Order Statistics: With Applications to Nonparametric Statistics.* Springer, New York.

[33] Reiss, R-D., and Thomas, M. (2007) *Statistical analysis of extreme values. From insurance, finance, hydrology and other fields.* Third Edition, Birkhäuser, Basel.

[34] Resnick, S.I. (2008) *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes.* Springer, New York. (Soft cover edition).